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FOREWORD

This report presents a summary of the aerodynamic data required by the Range Safety Division, ETR, for the establishment of range safety criteria for Atlas/Centaur/Surveyor direct ascent flights.

This report fulfills a contractual requirement (Item 88 of Centaur Documentation Requirements Plan, Report No. 55-00207F, 18 March 1966) which specifies that the Convair Division of General Dynamics shall provide vehicle aerodynamic, weight, and destruct action data required for ETR support planning and range safety.
SUMMARY

Atlas/Centaur/Surveyor range safety aerodynamics data are presented for use by the Range Safety Division of the AFMTC at Cape Kennedy, Florida.

Nominal impact points and impact dispersion envelopes are given for the Atlas booster and sustainer stage and for the Centaur insulation panels and nose fairing. Impact information covers the full range of trajectories targeted for the AC-10 launch opportunities (launch azimuths from 84 deg to 115 deg and injection flight path angles from approximately -5 deg to +7 deg). Supplemental data are presented to extend the range of launch azimuths to 80 deg.

To support a detailed analysis of the effects of destruct action or premature flight termination, applicable drag coefficients and vehicle fragmentation data are presented. In accordance with range safety requirements, results of an investigation of the possible number of resulting fragments and a drag and velocity analysis for fifteen of the major fragments are given. Power-off drag coefficients for various stage combinations are presented to support an analysis of the effects of premature flight termination.

The impact data presented in this report were derived from trajectories targeted for the AC-10 vehicle, but the results are applicable for all direct ascent missions of Atlas/Centaur/Surveyor. Addenda will be published to incorporate changes pertinent to specific flight vehicles.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Appendix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>A-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>B-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>C-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED.**
# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>Drag Coefficients for Atlas Booster Package (Minimum $C_D$ Estimate)</td>
<td>2-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>Drag Coefficients for Atlas Booster Package (Maximum $C_D$ Estimate)</td>
<td>2-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>Drag Coefficients for Atlas Sustainer Stage</td>
<td>2-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>Drag Coefficients for Centaur Nose Fairing</td>
<td>2-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>Drag Coefficients for Centaur Insulation Panels</td>
<td>2-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>Loci of Nominal Impact Points and Typical Impact Dispersion Envelopes</td>
<td>2-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-1</td>
<td>Velocity Imparted to Major Fragments by Detonation of Propellants</td>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-2</td>
<td>Drag Coefficients for Atlas/Centaur (Power Off)</td>
<td>3-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-3</td>
<td>Drag Coefficients for Atlas Sustainer with Centaur (Nose Fairing and Insulation Panels Included - Power Off)</td>
<td>3-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>Drag Coefficients for Atlas Sustainer with Centaur (Nose Fairing and Insulation Panels Jettisoned - Power Off)</td>
<td>3-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>Drag Coefficients for Centaur (Nose Fairing and Insulation Panels Included - Power Off)</td>
<td>3-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-6</td>
<td>Drag Coefficients for Centaur (Nose Fairing and Insulation Panels Jettisoned - Power Off)</td>
<td>3-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>Definition of Aerodynamics Terms</td>
<td>A-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>Vehicle Geometry Used for Propellant Detonation Investigation</td>
<td>C-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>Drag Coefficients and Winds Used for Impact Dispersions</td>
<td>2-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>Impact Dispersion Criteria</td>
<td>2-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-1</td>
<td>Estimated Number of Fragments Resulting from Propellant Explosion</td>
<td>3-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-2</td>
<td>Destruct Data for Major Fragments</td>
<td>3-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>Atlas and Centaur Tank Characteristics</td>
<td>B-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

Range safety aerodynamics data for Atlas/Centaur flights are prepared in compliance with AFMTC range safety requirements (Reference 1) which specify that ETR users must supply the following:

a. The expected impact point for each stage and jettisoned body in terms of geodetic latitude and longitude in decimal degrees, and range from pad to impact in nautical miles.

b. Estimates of the range and cross-range rms dispersions (or standard deviations) for each stage or re-entry body, assuming a normally functioning vehicle.

c. Expected effects of destruct explosion including the number and approximate weights of resulting fragments, estimates of drag coefficient histories for 10 to 15 major fragments, effect of explosion on remaining fuel and stage, and effects of explosion in the form of velocity increments for the major fragments.
SECTION 1
TRAJECTORY CHARACTERISTICS

A detailed description of direct ascent trajectories is presented in Reference 2; however, as an aid to the reader, a brief description of this mode of orbital injection is included below.

The direct ascent mode is characterized by a continuous powered-flight phase from launch to orbital injection. To accommodate varying launch site-target geometry during the daily launch window (the launch site moves in an easterly direction) the launch azimuth (Ξ) is advanced in a southerly direction. In order to satisfy the angular relationship, the injection flight path angle (γ)† is varied. Since the relationship between Ξ and γ is unique for any given launch time, there results a large number of possible trajectories for any given launch opportunity.

Varying injection flight path angle has a pronounced effect on the powered-phase flight profile. The booster phase of the flight profile remains unchanged, but the remaining trajectory is a strong function of injection γ.

† Flight path angle is defined as the angle between the inertial velocity vector and the local horizontal plane; i.e., the plane normal to the geocentric position vector. It is measured positive above the plane.
SECTION 2

ANALYSIS OF IMPACT LOCATIONS FOR JETTISONED ITEMS

Consistent with the requirements of Reference 1, nominal and three-sigma impact locations have been generated for items jettisoned during normal flight. Following the nominal Atlas/Centaur/Surveyor flight plan these items are: (1) Atlas booster package, (2) Centaur insulation panels, (3) Centaur nose fairing, and (4) Atlas sustainer stage. In addition to three-sigma flight considerations, dispersion envelopes reflect the effects of possible breakup of re-entering hardware.

Because of the uncertainties involved in estimating drag histories for the jettisoned items and because downrange wind conditions are relatively uncertain, efforts have been directed toward making impact dispersion envelopes conservative. In addition to the use of extreme wind profiles, wind and drag data have been combined in the manner which produces the greatest possible dispersion.

Effects of an oblate, rotating earth were accounted for in all freefall simulations, and a zero lift condition was assumed for all re-entry hardware.

2.1 NOMINAL IMPACT POINTS. Nominal impact data were generated by means of Reference 3 computer program utilizing initial position and velocity data that were obtained from the listings in Reference 4. The program was used to simulate hardware freefall re-entry assuming a combination of model atmospheres (References 14 and 15) and nominal June winds.

Since all re-entering hardware is considered to be aerodynamically unstable, nominal drag effects were accounted for by using "tumbling" drag data. (Tumbling drag is defined as an average of drag experienced at 0-, 90-, 180-, and 270-degree angles of attack. Derivation of the relation is presented in Appendix A.) Drag histories, obtained from data in References 6 and 7 and by theoretical methods, are presented in Figures 2-1 through 2-5.

Re-entry simulation of Atlas sustainer stage included the effects of retrorocket firing. This was accomplished by simulating a reverse thrust of 5600 lb for 0.7 second following sustainer separation.

Inspection of resulting atmosphere re-entry data (and of vacuum re-entry data) indicated that impact downrange distance varied linearly with flight path angle between \( \gamma = -5.4 \) deg and +3 deg and also between \( \gamma = +3 \) deg and +6.7 deg.

2.2 IMPACT DISPERSION ENVELOPES. Impact dispersion envelopes were obtained by means of Reference 5 computer program. Initiation of freefall for each of the four major jettisoned items was accomplished by utilizing nominal position and three-sigma velocity.
data (Reference 4). The latter data were generated to simulate three-sigma maximum, minimum, nose-right, and nose-left vehicle performance. Drag and wind combinations used to generate dispersion impact points are given in Table 2-1. Maximum and minimum drag are designated by $\alpha = 90$ and 0 deg, respectively, in the drag coefficient curves of Figures 2-1 through 2-5. Both maximum and minimum drag were used in the lateral performance cases to ensure attainment of the greatest possible lateral dispersions.

To compensate for the uncertainty of downrange conditions, three-sigma NASA mean annual winds (Reference 8) were used for the impact dispersion analysis. The dispersions produced by these extreme wind conditions are considered conservative.

Since re-entering hardware may break up due to aerodynamic loading or heating, impact points were generated for maximum, minimum, and lateral $3\sigma$ dispersions of possible resulting fragments. To accomplish this, each of the four major jettisoned items was assumed to disintegrate at approximately one-g deceleration. Consistent with Reference 13, limiting values of $C_D A/W = 1.0$ and 0.001 were assumed for the minimum- and maximum-distance fragments, respectively. The maximum nose-left and nose-right re-entry fragments utilized both maximum (1.0) and minimum (0.001) values of $C_D A/W$.

Table 2-1. Drag Coefficients and Winds Used for Impact Dispersions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>DRAG</th>
<th>WIND DIRECTION*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>Tumbling</td>
<td>Mean Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Dispersion</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>North and West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Dispersion</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>South and East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Dispersion</td>
<td>Maximum and Minimum</td>
<td>North and East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Dispersion</td>
<td>Maximum and Minimum</td>
<td>South and West</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From the indicated direction.
Wind and drag combinations used for the fragment re-entry cases are indicated in Table 2-1. Previous analyses have shown that dispersion envelopes are established by assumed fragments from the major jettisoned items rather than by the major items themselves, so that only the fragment dispersion impact points were calculated.

Dispersion envelopes were obtained graphically as illustrated (page 2-2) to include all calculated fragment impact points. Impact dispersion criteria associated with the illustration are listed in Table 2-2.

Reference 5 computer program was designed to accomplish essentially the same type of atmosphere re-entry simulation as Reference 3. The former program was chosen for the dispersion impact analysis in order to avoid problems in numerical instability associated with re-entry simulation of fragments with a ballistic parameter $C_D A/W$ equal to 1.0. Fragments in this category tend to float during re-entry into the atmosphere, and their direction of motion is severely influenced by winds. In order to be able to follow this type of motion, a program must have the capability of significantly varying the numerical integration time step.

2.3 RESULTS. Nominal impact points and impact dispersion envelopes are presented in Figure 2-6. Presentation of geodetic latitude and longitude in a Cartesian coordinate system is considered sufficiently accurate for the purpose intended. The earth traces presented for launch azimuths of 80 deg to 115 deg are actually vacuum instantaneous impact point (IIP) traces which were obtained from nominal trajectory listings (Reference 4) for these launch azimuths. (The IIP traces are presented rather than the sub-vehicle traces in an effort to include the effects of earth rotation during the time interval from staging to impact.) Even though the vacuum and aerodynamic times to impact do not correspond, the errors that are introduced are negligible.

Inspection of the IIP earth traces shows evidence of guidance yaw steering at the higher launch azimuths. The traces appear to deviate in a northerly direction.

In an effort to simplify the presentation of impact data, nominal impact points are plotted in Figure 2-6 for an injection flight path angle ($\gamma$) equal to -6.70 and a launch azimuth ($\Sigma$) of 114 degrees. The loci of nominal impact points at other pertinent launch azimuths are indicated on the same figure for different values of $\gamma$. A linear variation of $\gamma$ exists along any $\Sigma$ in the interval $-5.4 \text{ deg} \leq \gamma \leq +3 \text{ deg}$. Between $\gamma = +3 \text{ deg}$ and $+6.70 \text{ deg}$ the impact points do not vary.

Impact dispersion envelopes are also shown in Figure 2-6. Although individual dispersions are slightly dependent on $\Sigma$ and $\gamma$, it is recommended that the given envelopes be used for all values of $\Sigma$ and $\gamma$. (This is permissible since investigation shows that dispersions are somewhat smaller at the more negative values of $\gamma$ and since conservative assumptions of drag coefficient and winds were used in determining the impact envelopes.) The method of transposing the location of the impact dispersion envelopes to correspond to any possible set of $\Sigma - \gamma$ values is explained and illustrated in Figure 2-6. To facilitate transposition of the envelopes, a set of templates is provided.
Table 2-2. Impact Dispersion Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT POINT</th>
<th>POWERED TRAJECTORY</th>
<th>FRAGMENTATION ASSUMED</th>
<th>CD\textsubscript{DA/W}</th>
<th>WINDS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>Nominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Min. Perform</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Max. Perform</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Max. Right</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Max. Right</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Max. Left</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Max. Left</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3σ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 3σ N-S and E-W wind components were combined in the manner which produced the greatest dispersion.

+ Numbers correspond to example on Page 2-2.
(1) About pitch axis
(2) Average for tumbling about pitch and yaw axes

Figure 2-1. Drag Coefficients for Atlas Booster Package (Minimum $C_D$ Estimate)
Figure 2-2. Drag Coefficients for Atlas Booster Package (Maximum $C_D$ Estimate)
Figure 2-3. Drag Coefficients for Atlas Sustainer Stage
Figure 2-4. Drag Coefficients for Centaur Nose Fairing
Figure 2-5. Drag Coefficients for Centaur Insulation Panels
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NOTES:

1. NOM. I.P. = NOMINAL IMPACT POINT(S).
   
   $\Sigma$ = LAUNCH AZIMUTH
   
   $\gamma$ = FLIGHT PATH ANGLE OF VEHICLE AT TIME OF INJECTION INTO MISSION ORBIT (MECO).

2. NOMINAL IMPACT POINTS ARE FUNCTIONS OF $\Sigma$ AND $\gamma$ EXCEPT FOR ATLAS BOOSTER PACKAGE, WHICH VARIES WITH $\Sigma$ ONLY. (NOMINAL TRAJECTORY PROFILE TO THE TIME OF BOOSTER JETTISON IS INVARIANT WITH $\gamma$.)

3. TYPICAL IMPACT DISPERSION ENVELOPES (SOLID OVALS) ARE SHOWN FOR $\Sigma = 114^\circ$ AND $\gamma = +6.76^\circ$. NOMINAL IMPACT POINTS ASSOCIATED WITH THESE ENVELOPES ARE CIRCLED. THESE ENVELOPES INCLUDE EFFECTS OF
   
   1) ALL GUIDANCE AND PERFORMANCE DISPERSIONS,
   2) ASSUMED FRAGMENTATION OF STRUCTURE DURING RE-ENTRY,
   3) 3-SIGMA E-W AND N-S WIND COMPONENTS COMBINED IN THE MOST ADVERSE MANNER, AND
   4) EXTREMES OF RE-ENTRY DRAG COEFFICIENTS.

4. DISPERSION ENVELOPES FOR ALL VALUES OF $\Sigma$ AND $\gamma$ ARE CONGRUENT TO THOSE SHOWN. TO LOCATE ENVELOPES FOR OTHER VALUES OF $\Sigma$ AND $\gamma$, ROTATE AND/OR TRANSLATE THE ENVELOPES TO THE APPLICABLE VALUES OF $\Sigma$ AND $\gamma$.

   THE DASHED OVAL IS AN ILLUSTRATION OF THIS TECHNIQUE, SHOWING THE DISPERSION ENVELOPE OF THE ATLAS SUSTAINER FOR $\Sigma = 99^\circ$;
   $\gamma = -5.4^\circ$.

5. ADDITIONAL DISPERSION ENVELOPES ARE DRAWN TO SCALE ON THE RIGHT OF THE ILLUSTRATION. THESE FIGURES MAY BE CUT OUT AND USED AS TEMPLATES IF DESIRED.

Figure 2-6. Loci of Nominal Impact Points and Typical Impact Dispersion Envelopes for Items Jettisoned During Normal Flight
NOTE: CUT OUT TEMPLATES. PUNCH HOLES AT NOMINAL IMPACT POINTS ON TEMPLATES TO AID IN LOCATING DISPERSION ENVELOPES ON MAP.

- **ATLAS BOOSTER PACKAGE**
  - Nom. I.P.
  - Arrow points downrange

- **CENTAUR INSULATION PANELS**
  - Nom. I.P.
  - Arrow points downrange

- **CENTAUR NOSE FAIRING**
  - Nom. I.P.
  - Arrow points downrange

- **ATLAS SUSTAINER STAGE**
  - Nom. I.P.
  - Arrow points downrange

---
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SECTION 3
DESTRUCT DATA

3.1 VEHICLE FRAGMENTATION. The number of vehicle fragments resulting from destruct action have been estimated from studies of actual Atlas destruction (Reference 9) and considerations of the structure of the vehicle. A breakdown of the number of resulting fragments is presented in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1. Estimated Number of Fragments Resulting from Propellant Explosion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>WEIGHT RANGE (lb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Booster Fragments</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Sustainer Fragments</td>
<td>2260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Centaur Fragments</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 DRAG DATA FOR MAJOR FRAGMENTS. As requested in Reference 2, drag data for 15 major fragments are presented in Table 3-2. Choice of these fragments was based on the same criteria as for the fragmentation data presented above. The drag data were obtained by selecting proper coefficient data from References 6 and 7 for cones, cylinders, and plates as approximations to actual fragment configurations.

The expected no-wind maximum and minimum distance pieces noted in Table 3-2 were selected only on the basis of drag coefficient data. (Minimum distance - maximum drag and maximum distance - minimum drag.) No considerations were given to the initial velocity increment imparted to these fragments by an explosion. The limited information available from the AC-5 post failure investigation tends to confirm these assumptions.

3.3 EFFECT ON REMAINING STAGE. Destruct or inadvertent explosion of the propellants of either the Atlas or Centaur stages is expected to cause detonation of the propellants of the remaining stage. Flights F-1 and AC-5 are considered to be sufficient proof of this statement. An explosion on board the F-1 Centaur caused detonation of the Atlas propellants, and during the AC-5 flight, explosion of the Atlas propellants was responsible for detonation of the Centaur propellants. Therefore, it is not considered possible for Centaur to continue in powered flight following an explosion onboard Atlas.
3.4 VELOCITY INCREMENT DUE TO RELEASE OF TANK PRESSURES. Velocities due to release of tank pressure were obtained by the method of Reference 10 which is outlined in Appendix B. Since the delta velocity is a function of the area of the fragment, the maximum estimated areas were used for the calculations, thus producing the greatest possible velocity increment. The results are included in Table 3-2.

3.5 VELOCITY INCREMENT DUE TO PROPELLANT DETONATION. Velocities resulting from propellant detonation were obtained by a method which uses the blast wave equations of Reference 11. These equations, along with a discussion of their present applicability, are presented in Appendix C. Since the energy yield of the propellant detonation is a function of flight time, delta velocities were computed for the fragments over a time interval from lift-off until their respective jettison times. The computations were accomplished on a CDC 160-A digital computer.

Since the velocity imparted to the fragment depends on the distance from the origin of the explosion, detonation of the Atlas and Centaur propellants were considered separately. In both cases detonation of the propellants was assumed to occur at the intermediate bulkheads of the respective vehicles and, in each instance, effects of detonation were considered to be free from any interference by the other stage. Velocities obtained from individual Atlas and Centaur propellant detonations were then added vectorially to produce the results in Figure 3-1 and the maximum values in Table 3-2. The $C_{D,A/W}$ used in the computations corresponded to the maximum supersonic value of Table 3-2.

3.6 DRAG COEFFICIENTS FOR VARIOUS STAGE COMBINATIONS. In the event that flight is terminated at some time prior to orbital injection, drag coefficient data for various stage combinations have been compiled. These data are presented in Figures 3-2 through 3-6.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>NO. EACH</th>
<th>WEIGHT (LB)</th>
<th>LOCATION (IN.)</th>
<th>C_Dx/A (FT^2/LB) SUBSONIC</th>
<th>C_Dy/A (FT^2/LB) SUPersonic</th>
<th>ΔV (FT/SEC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Interstage Adapter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1636</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.0756, 0.1018, 0.1278</td>
<td>0.0885, 0.1168, 0.1457</td>
<td>159.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2**</td>
<td>Atlas Booster Turbopump Assembly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>0.0674, 0.0474, 0.0188</td>
<td>0.0580, 0.0989, 0.0130</td>
<td>37.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*</td>
<td>Surveyor Fairing (1/2 cone + 1/2 barrel)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0.2192, 0.3264</td>
<td>0.1934, 0.3514</td>
<td>1.86.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Payload Adapter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0.0180, 0.1222, 0.2387</td>
<td>0.0007, 0.0167, 0.6328</td>
<td>34.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Surveyor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2194</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.0004, 0.0124, 0.0237</td>
<td>0.0007, 0.0167, 0.6328</td>
<td>34.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Atlas Sustainer Engine and Turbopump</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>0.0039, 0.0039, 0.0048</td>
<td>0.0036, 0.0060, 0.0084</td>
<td>43.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Atlas Booster Engine Assembly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>0.0165, 0.0206, 0.0246</td>
<td>0.0344, 0.0388, 0.0431</td>
<td>97.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Atlas Booster Engine Combustion Chamber</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>0.0046, 0.0056, 0.0065</td>
<td>0.0102, 0.0109, 0.0115</td>
<td>50.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*</td>
<td>Centaur Nose Fairing (1/2 cone)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.0308, 0.1421, 0.3026</td>
<td>0.0313, 0.2086, 0.4398</td>
<td>171.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10*</td>
<td>Centaur Nose Fairing (1/2 barrel)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>0.0198, 0.1343, 0.2371</td>
<td>0.0296, 0.1378, 0.4140</td>
<td>170.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Centaur Engine Assembly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.0202, 0.0255, 0.0309</td>
<td>0.0423, 0.0432, 0.0540</td>
<td>102.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Centaur Engine Combustion Chamber</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.0058, 0.0072, 0.0085</td>
<td>0.0121, 0.0134, 0.0147</td>
<td>46.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15*</td>
<td>Insulation Panels</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>0.0096, 0.2864, 0.5465</td>
<td>0.0141, 0.3858, 0.7623</td>
<td>297.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Atlas Exhaust Duct and Heat Exchanger</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0.072, 0.0186, 0.0365</td>
<td>0.0149, 0.0335, 0.0637</td>
<td>119.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Centaur Battery</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>0.0131, 0.0205, 0.0278</td>
<td>0.0207, 0.0232, 0.0440</td>
<td>72.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Per item  
(2) Radius is distance from vehicle longitudinal axis  
(3) ΔV due to internal tank pressure  
(4) Maximum ΔV due to propellant detonation  
*Expected no-wind minimum distance piece  
**Expected no-wind maximum distance piece
Figure 3-1. Velocity Imparted to Major Fragments by Detonation of Propellants (Sheet 1 of 5)
Figure 3-1. Velocity Imparted to Major Fragments by Detonation of Propellants (Sheet 2 of 5)
Figure 3-1. Velocity Imparted to Major Fragments by Detonation of Propellants (Sheet 3 of 5)
Figure 3-1. Velocity Imparted to Major Fragments by Detonation of Propellants (Sheet 4 of 5)
Figure 3-1. Velocity Imparted to Major Fragments by Detonation of Propellants (Sheet 5 of 5)
Figure 3-2. Drag Coefficients for Atlas/Comet (Power Off)
Figure 3-3. Drag Coefficients for Atlas Sustainer with Centaur (Nose Fairing and Insulation Panels Included - Power Off)
Figure 3-4. Drag Coefficients for Atlas Sustainer with Centaur (Nose Fairing and Insulation Panels Jettisoned - Power Off)
Figure 3-5. Drag Coefficients for Centaur (Nose Fairing and Insulation Panels included - Power Off)
Figure 3-6. Drag Coefficients for Centaur (Nose Fairing and Insulation Panels Jettisoned - Power Off)
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APPENDIX A

AVERAGE DRAG COEFFICIENT FOR TUMBLING CYLINDER

Assume the cylinder shown in Figure A-1 is rotating about its center of gravity with constant angular velocity.

Figure A-1. Definition of Aerodynamics Terms.

Consider the rotation from $\alpha = 0^\circ$ to $\alpha = 90^\circ$. It can be shown (Reference 12) that for either low Mach Numbers using crossflow (sweepback) theory or high Mach Numbers using Newtonian flow theory the expression for the normal force coefficient ($C_N$) can be written as:

$$C_N = C_{D_{90}} \sin^2 \alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} A-1

where $C_{D_{90}}$ is the cylinder crossflow ($\alpha = 90^\circ$) drag coefficient. Assume a similar variation for $C_A$, the axial force coefficient

$$C_A = C_{D_0} \cos^2 \alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} A-2

where $C_{D_0}$ is the cylinder drag coefficient at $\alpha = 0^\circ$.

Using a common reference area for both $C_N$ and $C_A$ the following expression for $C_D$ at any $\alpha$ can be written:
\[ C_D = C_D^0 \cos^3 \alpha + C_D^{90} \sin^3 \alpha \]  \hspace{1cm} A-3

Defining an average \( C_D \) as

\[ \overline{C_D} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} C_D \, d\alpha \]  \hspace{1cm} A-4

Using equation A-3 in A-4 and integrating, the following is obtained:

\[ \overline{C_D} = \frac{4}{3\pi} (C_D^0 + C_D^{90}) \]  \hspace{1cm} A-5

Applying the same procedure for one complete revolution, the following result is found:

\[ \overline{C_D} = \frac{2}{3\pi} (C_D^0 + C_D^{90} + C_D^{180} + C_D^{270}) \]  \hspace{1cm} A-6

A similar procedure when used for the lift coefficient \( (C_L) \) produces \( \overline{C_L} = 0 \).

Since, for relatively large aspect ratio cylinders, \( C_D^0 \) is small compared to \( C_D^{90} \), the assumed variation of \( C_A \) with \( \alpha \) is not expected to introduce serious errors in \( \overline{C_D} \). For Newtonian flow, the assumed variation of \( C_A \) is as valid as that used for \( C_N \).
APPENDIX B
VELOCITIES DUE TO INTERNAL ENERGY OF PRESSURIZED TANKS

Maximum velocities imparted to the major fragments from the internal energy of the pressurized fuel and LO₂ tanks were estimated using the method of Reference 10. From Reference 10

\[ \Delta V = \sqrt{\frac{2 \ g \ W_k \ A}{W}} \]

where

\[ W_k = \eta \ \frac{W_{kt}}{A_1} \]

\[ W_{kt} = \frac{P_1 \ V_1}{\gamma - 1} \]

\[ A = \text{Area of fragment (ft}^2\text{)} \]

\[ A_1 = \text{Tank skin area (ft}^2\text{)} \]

\[ g = 32.174 \text{ ft/sec}^2 \]

\[ P_1 = \text{Tank pressure (lb/ft}^2\text{)} \]

\[ \Delta V = \text{Velocity imparted to fragment (ft/sec)} \]

\[ V_1 = \text{Tank volume (ft}^3\text{)} \]

\[ W = \text{Fragment weight (lb)} \]

\[ W_{k} = \text{Energy available per square foot (ft-lb/ft}^2\text{)} \]

\[ W_{kt} = \text{Internal energy of pressurized gas (ft-lb)} \]

\[ \gamma = \text{Ratio of specific heats for the gas} \]

\[ \eta = \text{Percentage of energy transferred to the fragment} \]

Values used in the computations are presented in Table B-1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TANK DESIGNATION</th>
<th>$P_1$ (lb/ft²)</th>
<th>$V_1$ (ft³)</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlas LO₂</td>
<td>4460.0</td>
<td>2553.0</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlas Fuel</td>
<td>8620.0</td>
<td>1568.0</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centaur LO₂</td>
<td>4610.0</td>
<td>375.7</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centaur Fuel</td>
<td>3860.0</td>
<td>1265.4</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$A_1$ (ft²)
APPENDIX C

VELOCITY IMPARTED TO A FRAGMENT BY A BLAST WAVE

From elementary aerodynamics the velocity imparted to a fragment by a blast wave is

\[ \Delta V = g \frac{C_D A}{W} \int_{t=0}^{t=\infty} q dt \]

where

- \( A \) = Fragment area (ft²)
- \( C_D \) = Fragment drag coefficient
- \( E \) = Energy yield of blast (ft-lb)
- \( g \) = 32.174 ft/sec²
- \( q \) = Dynamic pressure (lb/ft²)
- \( r \) = Distance from blast source to fragment (ft)
- \( R \) = Distance from blast source to blast wave (ft)
- \( t \) = Time (sec)
- \( t_0 \) = Time for \( \eta = 1 \) (sec)
- \( t_\infty \) = Time for \( \eta = 0 \) (sec)
- \( u \) = Velocity of gas (ft/sec)
- \( \Delta V \) = Velocity imparted to fragment (ft/sec)
- \( W \) = Fragment weight (lb)
- \( \gamma \) = Ratio of specific heats of gas
- \( \eta \) = \( r / R \)
- \( \rho \) = Density of gas (slugs/ft³)
- \( \rho_0 \) = Atmospheric density (slugs/ft³)

Equation C-1 assumes a constant \( C_D A/W \) for the fragment.

The problem is one of integrating dynamic pressure over the time interval when blast wave effects are significant. A closed solution to this equation was obtained by use of the constant energy point source blast wave model of Reference 11.
From Reference 11,

\[ \rho = \psi \rho_0 \]
\[ u = R^{-3/2} E^{1/2} B^{-1/2} \rho_0^{-1/2} \phi \]

or
\[ \frac{dt}{dt} = E^{-1/2} \rho_0^{1/2} B^{1/2} R^{3/2} \frac{dR}{dR}. \]

so that \( \alpha \ell \) becomes

\[ \alpha \ell = \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 \frac{dt}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0^{1/2} E^{1/2} B^{-1/2} \psi \phi^2 R^{-3/2} \frac{dR}{dR} \tag{C-2} \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{2} \rho_0^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{r} \right)^{1/2} B^{-1/2} \psi \phi^2 \eta^{-1/2} d\eta \]

where \( \phi = \frac{\eta}{\gamma} + \left[ \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma (\gamma + 1)} \right] \eta^N \)

and \( \psi = \frac{(\gamma + 1)}{(\gamma - 1)} \frac{3}{\eta^{\gamma - 1}} \frac{2 (\gamma + 5)}{\gamma} \)

and \( N = \frac{\gamma \gamma}{(\gamma^2 - 1)} \)

and \( B = 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} 4 \phi^2 \eta^2 d\eta + \frac{4\pi}{\gamma (\gamma - 1)} \int_{0}^{1} \phi \eta^2 d\eta \)

and \( \lambda = \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \frac{2\gamma^2 + 7\gamma - 3}{(\gamma + 1) - \frac{\eta^{N-1}}{\gamma}} \)

To obtain fragment velocities, equation C-2 is integrated over the time interval corresponding to \( \eta = 0 \) to \( \eta = 1 \). For each time step the atmosphere relative velocity from the preceding time step is subtracted from the velocity of the shock wave. This step is included to account for atmospheric (radially inward) drag effects.
In order to account for both Atlas and Centaur propellant detonation, the two cases are computed individually and the resulting velocities added vectorially. The geometry involved in the calculations is shown in Figure C-1. For both the Atlas and Centaur vehicles the detonation is assumed to be confined to a point source originating at the intermediate bulkheads of the respective stages.

Figure C-1. Vehicle Geometry Used for Propellant Detonation Investigation